

2011 ASPO-USA Conference: Day 1

Posted by Jonathan Callahan on November 9, 2011 - 11:00am

Topic: Supply/Production

Tags: aspo, aspo-usa conference [list all tags]

I recently attended the <u>ASPO-USA annual conference</u> in Washington, DC. Overall, I found the presentations and discussion to be very engaging. The vibe this year had much less of a doomsday feel than last year and the topic of how best to tell an engaging Peak Oil story came up often. In the notes below I attempt to recap the sessions I attended with the *caveat* that these notes reflect primarily what I paid attention to. No attempt is made to be complete or unbiased in my coverage of the conference. I apologize in advance for any omissions or misrepresentations. In the next few weeks ASPO should make videos of the presentations available on <u>aspo.tv</u>.

Welcome

I arrived at the conference site a few minutes early to find out that our first session was to take place four blocks away in the Congressional Auditorium underneath the Capitol. After opening remarks, ASPO co-founder and president Jim Baldauf spoke to the need for "Truth in Energy" in taxpayer funded agencies like the EIA and DOE and how these agencies should be more responsive to ASPO concerns about the forecasts they produce.

Next on the agenda were speeches by representatives <u>Mike Honda</u> and <u>Roscoe Bartlett</u>. Honorable Bartlett especially impressed me as an excellent speaker of undaunted courage and completely in command of the facts. No doubt this has to do with his previous career as a scientist and engineer in NASA and the military. By his own reckoning, Bartlett has given 52 hour long speeches on Peak Oil in the House of Representatives. I'm not sure what strings ASPO director Jan Mueller had to pull to get permission to use the Congressional Auditorium but it was an excellent beginning to the conference.

Peak Oil Update: Timing, Trends, Consequences

Chris Skrebowski focused on the importance of emerging markets in any attempt to assess future prices and availability for oil. He pointed out that the bulk of recent growth in supply is actually coming from "other liquids" such as NGL and ethanol. He estimates that current spare capacity is about one million barrels per day. Of greatest concern was his statement that oil companies are now investing in projects that require a per barrel price that will "break the economy" (>\$100/bbl). He suggested that this is because the growth in demand is expected in non-OECD countries where the economic utility of oil is much higher than in countries like the United States and that non-OECD economies will be able to bear these high prices. (More of Chris' insight on this topic can be found here.)

Next up was William Catton. His beautiful voice and old-school professorial style were a delight to listen to even if his presentation was a very general one for the technical people in the audience. He spoke of our ever increasing use of energy and the evolution from *homo sapiens* to what he terms *HOMO COLOSSUS*. His most concrete suggestion was that economic stimulus today will

not have the same affect as in 1938 because he believes we have by now extracted most of the energy resources of our nation. He anticipates a future with rising death rates and resource wars.

Jeff Rubin, as great an entertainer as communicator, spoke without slides and made several points crystal clear. Having an economist that can speak clearly without the typical economic mumbo jumbo and is not afraid to point out economic stupidity where he sees it reminded me at times of the writings of John Kenneth Galbraith. Here are the bullets I jotted down:

- Oil prices affect economies.
- The US congress is guided by economists.
- Economists' basic assumption is: potential growth = productivity growth + population growth.
- Basic assumption of potential growth of 3% is completely disconnected from natural resource limitations.
- Any growth below potential growth means you can "step on the gas" with stimulus.
- China is buying T bonds to keep Chinese inflation down in China.
- Chinese inflation is driven by food and energy prices denominated in dollars.
- Our economy can no longer grow at the rates expected because we are in an expensive oil environment.
- Any efforts to stimulate growth toward 3% will fail and be counterproductive.
- America will eventually default on its debt -- currency depreciation is a form of default

Jeff also explained how Greece's defaulting would likely lead to Portugal, Spain, Italy, and Ireland defaulting. Greece's largest industry is tourism. So is Portugal's. If Greece defaults, and goes back to the Drachma, it will suddenly be a very cheap vacation spot. Portugal will need to default to match, and so on. Rubin also talked about the fact that including the PIIGS in the Euro keeps the Euro lower than it otherwise would be. If the PIIGS leave, then the currency for the remaining countries will rise, leaving the remaining countries with a problem selling their goods. Germany is the #2 exporter after China. So Europe has a huge problem.

Adapting to the End of Cheap Energy: Critical Factors

Richard Heinberg addressed the need to reform the discipline of economics and the belief in infinite growth. Any reasonable version of economics should reflect natural limits and the impact of industrial processes. Richard also talked about the fact that inequality can only be tolerated if the national pie is growing. Now that it isn't, we have a problem. He believes we cannot develop alternative energies fast enough, so we have to expect a less mobile society going forward.

Chris Martenson spoke of our need for national energy priorities and asked: "What is the solution space?" He emphasized the importance of "stories" and noted that our national budget has almost nothing for renewables. Clearly, energy is not part of our national story. He believes we might be able to craft a new national narrative around "Energy stewardship is what we value."

One thing I appreciate tremendously about Chris is that he understands the importance of "framing" and "storytelling" in getting a message across. He has a scientific approach toward getting his message across and has learned through trial and error (and observation and measurement) the importance of crafting different stories, each appropriate for a specific audience.

Angelina Galiteva was a newcomer to the conference. She currently serves on the Board of Governors for the California Independent System Operator and is CEO of NEOptions, a provider of turnkey solar PV solutions. Like the solar people I met at Opal Financial's Clean & Green Forum, Angelina was very optimistic about the promise of solar. She believes that technology will allow us to retain most/all of the trappings of a modern society with 100% renewables in a

generation or two. In the United States she sees major policy obstructions to the adoption of solar PV. She was involved in the creation of Germany's Feed-in-Tariff and says the key features of that successful program are the TLC's: Transparency, Longevity, Certainty and Consistency. A PV installation in California requires a large amount of paperwork from different governing bodies depending on location whereas Germany has a simple 2-page document across the entire country. She pointed out that German job growth in the solar and wind sector is significantly higher than in other sectors and that Germany has installed twice as much solar PV as the US with a little more than a quarter of US population.

Angelina's take-home message was that people should stop complaining about the problem and should start taking action on anything that will be part of the solution. She sees solar as a big part of that solution and is doing her utmost to promote it. It's hard to argue with that attitude though there was plenty of skepticism at the conference along with complaints that solar advocates take little account of the fossil fuels embedded in their production.

Roger Bezdek was the final speaker and called our attention to the lack of any meaningful national plan for an oil emergency. His concern is that any decline in oil production will lead to an oil shock and there will be huge public demand to "Do something!" He expects that rationing will be the most politically acceptable outcome as we have don that before. Most of his presentation concerned the complexity and details of implementing a rationing plan. Take home message -- it's complex.

China and the Middle East: Implications for U.S. Energy Security

Michael Klare was snowbound and unable to attend and was replaced by Kjell Aleklett as first speaker. Kjell discussed the importance of giant fields. By his reckoning, 1% of global oil fields currently produce 65% of crude oil. New discoveries are less frequent than in previous decades and a diminishing list of export countries includes many that we may not consider allies. Here is the current list of exporters ranked by 2010 EIA oil export volumes:

1. Saudi Arabia, 2. Russia, 3. Iran, 4. United Arab Emirates, 5. Nigeria, 6. Kuwait, 7. Norway, 8. Angola, 9. Algeria, 10. Iraq, 11. Venezuela, 12. Libya, 13. Kazakhstan, 14. Qatar, 15. Canada, 16. Azerbaijan, 17. Mexico, 18. Oman, 19. Columbia, 20. Sudan, ...

The list alone should give one pause. Except for Norway, Canada and perhaps Mexico, these are not paragons of enlightened, democratic society. Kjell expects Norway to cease exporting in 2035 due to depletion. The Saudi recovery factor is currently 56% and he expects production of near 12 mbd until 2028. But pressurizing fields will require a very high price. He believes that Asia will outcompete the West for available exports and that Russia will not be able to export as much as the EIA expects. Already, exports to (imports by) OECD nations have declined by 5 mbd and he anticipates another 10 mbd decline over the next 10 years because of increasing internal consumption in the oil producing nations.

This was a natural segue to the next speaker, Jeffrey Brown. Jeffrey presented his Export Land Model and the case for paying attention to Peak Exports rather than Peak Production if you live in an importing nation. I agree completely with his emphasis on net export decline as being hugely important to oil security in OECD nations. Because I know the ELM story so well, I took few notes.

Lastly, Minqi Li presented his analysis of China's use of coal. He mentioned using data from China's "coal reserve base" as the best open, regularly updated Chinese government number regarding coal. Minqi noted that China produced 3.2 billion tons of coal in 2010 of which 780 million tons came from Inner Mongolia. In the first eight months of 2011 production has reached

2.5 billion tons of which Inner Mongolia alone has contributed 625 million tons. He expects China to reach a national production of 3.6 billion tons this year including more than 1 billion tons from Inner Mongolia. He sees continued growth in coal production/consumption until 2030. (This was disputed by Kjell.) Minqi sees coal as China's primary energy source until China reaches "peak energy" around 2035. [Corrected to reflect Minqi Li's input.]

The End of Growth

Richard Heinberg spoke quite literally about "the end of growth". Point by point, he argued:

- 1. Cheap energy led to mass production which led to advertising and credit.
- 2. Payment of debt requires future growth.
- 3. Increasing debt for consumers is increasing wealth for banks leading to increased power for financials.
- 4. We are living at the end of history's greatest credit bubble.
- 5. Our economic future will have persistent high unemployment, declining income and net worth, financial instability.
- 6. We need to build local resilience, but it is at odds with economic efficiency.
- 7. Rapid economic growth is an artifact of the fossil fuel age.
- 8. We can have a better quality of life with reduced consumption.

I basically agree with this thesis and give a hearty "Amen!" to 8. But I also believe that the world is, as it always has been, a very heterogeneous place and that some communities are already well on their way toward local resilience. Oil shocks will arrive but will not affect all nations or all regions and towns equally

William Catton spoke again in his lovely stentorian voice and reminisced a little about his happy childhood during the Depression. His main message is that our finite world is ... well ... finite and that non-renewable resources are ... umm ... non-renewable. One clever insight he left us with is the idea that our species has progressed over millennia from foragers to farmers in our quest to provide our bodies with fuel. The current mad dash in search of fossil fuels in Earth's nooks and crannies means that we have completed the circle and returned once again to our forager past. Nice imagery.

Jean Laherère was last to speak and described the widespread confusion in the definition of simple concepts such as units, heat content, density, *etc*. There is little consistency and there are few rules or standards among companies and nations about how to report. As usual, Jean showed lots of busy charts but his take-home message was this: It is difficult to create a clear message when the wording, units and components of "oil" are in constant flux. (With all due respect to Jean's important analytical work over the years, I would like to suggest that it is also difficult to create a clear message with dozens and dozens of overly crowded charts. Synthesis is sometimes more important than detail when explaining.)

The Shale Gas Rush: Boom or Bust?

Art Berman moderated a panel on shale gas that addressed the (negative) environmental impact of shale gas drilling in western Pennsylvania. I learned a tremendous amount from this late evening session but was disappointed that no one from the industry side was present despite Art's best efforts to find someone. (The lack of the industry perspective was made more poignant by the fact that I had gone out to dinner with an employee of Chesapeake Energy just before this session. One of the advantages of this conference is direct access to people from all sides of the energy issue.) Despite my strong environmental leanings, I would have enjoyed having a technically oriented shale gas proponent as part of the panel.

The first speaker of the evening was Cornell Engineering Professor Anthony Ingraffea who gave a spectacular 20 minute primer on fracking, more properly called High Volume SlickWater Fracturing with Long Laterals (HVSWFLL). Here are the Cliff Notes:

- shale plays are typically ~100' thick
- 4 components in the modern method:
 - 1. horizontal wells,
 - 2. high volumes of slickwater frac fluids
 - 3. multi-stage fracking
 - 4. use of multi-well pads
- while each of these techniques is old, the combination is new
- horizontal wells are long
- slickwater has hydrocarbon lubricants to reduce viscosity
- A 16-well pad uses: 417 million gals of water, 78 k tons of sand, 8 million gals of frac chemicals, 500 frac intervals, 10k foot laterals, 40k hp for fracking pumps
- fracking is spatially intense --> lots of pads
- main concerns:
 - 1. spatially and temporally intense, heavy industry
 - 2. potential migration of hydrocarbons (methane escapes outside of 5% of wells)
 - 3. methane is a potent greenhouse gas
- he is VERY much against HVSWFLL

Next up was Bob Howarth, a Cornell ecologist. He described how an estimated 1.9% of total methane production from a well can be inadvertently released during the 2 week frac fluid blowback period as the well is prepared for production. He asserted that shale gas drilling has significantly more methane leakage than conventional gas drilling methods and that, because of this, shale gas actually contributes more to GHG warming than coal or diesel.

Rob Jackson runs a water chemistry lab at Duke University. Being careful not to declare causation, he showed a graph with a very strong correlation between water well distance from a shale gas drill site and the amount of methane detected in water samples. His lab has not, however, detected frac fluids in any water wells. He said it looks like methane leaks up through bad casing jobs rather than geological fractures and believes that better regulation, better construction and better enforcement can help a lot. New regulations in Pennsylvania will include 'presumptive liability' for any water well contamination that is observed within 2500' feet of a drill site as opposed to 1000' feet earlier. He believes this kind of regulation is actually a win for both the public and for industry as it clearly delineates where liability ends.

The session finished with Amy Mall, policy analyst from the NRDC, generally describing the negative environment impacts that are possible with shale gas drilling. Her position was that improved regulation and enforcement can resolve many of the trouble areas associated with shale gas production.

Dinner Conversation: Energy concerns in Austria

At the speakers' dinner (which actually took place on Friday) I enjoyed a conversation with Georg Günsberg of ASPO Austria. Having been an exchange student in Vienna, I was curious about the level of awareness and concern in Austria. He said that there was a teachable moment in 2008 during the Russia-Ukraine gas spat when many thousands of central Europeans in nations like Slovakia were left without heat in the dead of winter. Luckily for Austria, they have 4-6 months of natural gas in storage and they came through without any major shortages. According to Georg, concern about energy is not particularly high at the moment. Austria has long had efficiency built into their building codes and they are now putting up entire apartment blocks that meet Passivhaus standards. Austria is a small country with dense urban cores, an excellent rail system

and already high gasoline prices. Austrians (sample size n=1) seem little concerned about reduced mobility in the face of more expensive liquid fuels.

Take Home Messages

If I had to choose the most valuable points I took away from day 1 I would probably include:

- The idea that oil has a much higher utility in developing nations than it does in OECD nations and that this will allow them to drive the price well above \$100/bbl.
- Solar advocates enthusiasm is undaunted as solar PV continues to show price declines and increasing adoption rates.
- The current list of top oil exporters is scary.
- Pennsylvania needs to do a better job of regulating the shale gas industry

Keep an eye out for a recap of Day 2.

(Many thanks to Gail, Brian, Rembrandt, Chris and Art for valuable comments and suggestions on an earlier draft.)

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike</u> 3.0 United States License.